

# **EXHIBIT 81**

[Share](#)

4 More

[Next Blog»](#)[Create Blog](#) [Sign In](#)

# The Enterprise System Spectator

**Tuesday, March 27, 2007**

## Oracle/SAP lawsuit: view from Rimini Street

Yesterday, I spoke with Seth Ravin, CEO of Rimini Street, about Oracle's civil suit against SAP and its TomorrowNow (TN) unit for theft of intellectual property. Rimini Street, like TN, is a third-party maintenance and support service provider for Oracle's JD Edwards, PeopleSoft, and Siebel products.

Ravin is in a unique position to offer a perspective on Oracle's suit against SAP/TN. Not only does his firm provide nearly identical services as TN but Ravin himself was co-founder of TN's third-party maintenance services for PeopleSoft and JD Edwards. He was also TN's president until retiring to pursue other ventures shortly after SAP acquired TN in 2005.

Some of Ravin's observations match those I've already written in [my first post](#) and [second post](#) concerning this lawsuit. For example, Ravin emphasized that Oracle's lawsuit is not about TN offering third party support. Nowhere does Oracle object to SAP's offering third-party support for Oracle's products. Larry Ellison in fact has spoken of the third-party support model as "capitalism," and Oracle itself offers support for SAP's products through its partnership with Systime, as I noted previously.

He also observed that Oracle's security on its customer support website, by Oracle's own admission, allows anyone with a user ID to download any and all materials on the site, even those that Oracle is claiming in the lawsuit were outside of a particular customer's license rights. Oracle also does not appear to immediately disable user IDs and access to Oracle's customer portal upon expiration of a customer's support contract. Such poor information

(c) 2002-2011, Frank Scavo.

Independent analysis of issues and trends in enterprise applications software and the strengths, weaknesses, advantages, and disadvantages of the vendors that provide them.

### About the Enterprise System Spectator.



Send tips, rumors, gossip, and feedback to Frank Scavo at

[spectator@stratива.com](mailto:spectator@stratива.com).

I'm interested in hearing about best practices, lessons learned, horror stories, and case studies of success or failure.

**Selecting a new enterprise system** can be a difficult decision. My [consulting firm](#), [Strativa](#), offers assistance that is independent and unbiased. For information on how we can help your organization make and carry out these decisions, write to me.

To purchase reprint or distribution rights for content published on the Spectator, please contact me.

security practices and lack of access controls might be a defense for SAP in this lawsuit.

But Ravin also had some new insights into the lawsuit.

### A question of intent

First, he believes that it is unlikely that SAP as an organization has any conspiracy to steal Oracle's intellectual property. Stealing Oracle's IP would have no business value to SAP and opening the door to such a lawsuit is certainly not in SAP's best interests. Furthermore, if SAP *did* want to steal Oracle's trade secrets, it certainly would not be likely do so from their own offices, traceable through IP addresses on its own network. If this were truly a case of corporate espionage, SAP would have done a better job of covering their tracks. I find this to be a convincing argument.

That leaves the most likely explanation -- if there really is an issue -- as that of errors by one or more employees getting confused and mixing up client logins when processing multiple download requests from Oracle's customer portal for new clients. Ravin notes that Oracle customers have the right to download support materials up to the expiration date of their Oracle maintenance agreement. When a customer plans to terminate maintenance, Ravin thinks that TN -- as the customer's contractor -- likely offers to download all the support material for which they have the rights to. At this point, Ravin believes the TN consultant should write those materials to a CD-ROM or other appropriate storage device and hand them over to a customer.

Picking up on Ravin's theory, I can picture at least two scenarios. First, imagine a TN consultant who has several Oracle customers that have signed up for TN's services and plan to terminate Oracle maintenance agreements. Perhaps the consultant simply forgets to log out with one ID and accidentally uses one client's authorized ID to process another client's authorized download. Perhaps logins get mixed up or are not managed well to ensure that they are never used again after a client's Oracle maintenance contract expires. Since Oracle's site looks the same regardless of the login ID and since Oracle does not seem to immediately turn off access rights after maintenance contracts expire, there is plenty of opportunity for innocent human error.

Or, perhaps the consultant downloads all the material

[Go to latest postings](#)

### Search This Blog

[Search](#)

 Google™ Custom Search

**Join over 1,500 subscribers on the Spectator email list!**

[Subscribe](#)

Max. 1-2 times/month.  
Easy one-click to unsubscribe anytime.



[FOLLOW ME ON TWITTER](#)

[XML](#)

[RSS FEED](#) 

### Computer Economics

[ERP Support Staffing Ratios](#)  
[Outsourcing Statistics](#)  
[IT Spending and Staffing Benchmarks](#)  
[IT Staffing Ratios](#)  
[IT Management Best Practices](#)  
[Technology Trends, ROI, and TCO](#)  
[IT Salary Report](#)  
[IT Help Desk/Service Desk Management](#)  
[Malicious Insider Threats](#)  
[Employee and Insider Misuse of Computer and Internet Resources](#)

### Research Bytes

[IT Outsourcing Spending Resumes Its Rise \(Sep 06\)](#)  
[QA Staffing Withstands Test of Time \(Aug 28\)](#)  
[Security Threats May Be Rising, But Security Staffing](#)

he needs for all his current clients using one login ID and then splits up the material for each customer according to what materials the customer has rights to. From the consultant's perspective, he's just trying to save time logging in and out of Oracle's support site, but from Oracle's perspective, monitoring these activities, it looks suspicious.

Without having seen Oracle's evidence in this case, there are several scenarios such as those I've outlined above that might explain the situation. There would be no intent on SAP's part, or even the consultant's part, to steal Oracle's trade secrets, but merely human error in carrying out legitimate activities on behalf of customers.

### All about SAP

Ravin points out that while Oracle has every right to protect its intellectual property, if this involved any party other than SAP, Oracle would have followed the traditional process of notifying the party of the inappropriate downloading and seeking to resolve the issue. If the inappropriate activities were then to continue, a cease-and-desist letter might be called for. And certainly, Oracle would have cut off the offending user IDs from further access.

But in this case, it appears that Oracle gave no warnings and took no actions to stop the offending behavior. Rather it quietly monitored the activity for several months, building a case, and then springing a lawsuit. Why? Because creating a "giant media fireball" (Ravin's phrase) works in favor of Oracle's PR campaign against SAP.

Ravin says he would be surprised if any of the Oracle materials went through the "firewall" at SAP and reached SAP's development organization. He expects that a much clearer picture will emerge when this case goes to trial, if it gets that far. In the meantime, Oracle makes SAP look bad and it gets to play the part of the victim.

I asked Ravin how the lawsuit was affecting business at Rimini Street. He was reluctant to paint the lawsuit as good for business, but in fact, he says that sales activities have included a windfall of TN prospects and customers, as Oracle customers looking for third-party support do not want to get in the middle of an Oracle versus SAP battle.

It's going to be interesting to see how this case develops.

[Is Not](#) (Aug 23)

[Are Data Center Workers](#)

[Endangered?](#) (Aug 16)

[CRM Adoption Rate Jumps](#) (Jun 04)

[Applications Drive IT Spending in Utility Sector](#) (May 23)

[Remote Database](#)

[Administration Stands Test of Time](#) (May 17)

[Is it Time to Switch to SAP HANA?](#) (May 04)

Content provided by Computer Economics.

[Get these headlines](#) on your site, free!

### My Twitter Updates

- Think IBM in 1980 [8 minutes ago](#)
- @itgEvangelist Possible, but far from a sure thing. [8 minutes ago](#)
- MyPOV: No company has ever dominated forever. Why should Apple be any different? [18 minutes ago](#)
- Why exactly, and over what time period? RT @itgEvangelist Apple will continue to dominate. Period. [20 minutes ago](#)
- @dahowlett awesome show, BTW [26 minutes ago](#)

[follow me on Twitter](#)



### Blog Roll and Favorite Sites

Strativa: [ERP software vendor evaluation, selection, and implementation consultants, California](#)

35MM Design: [Web design, Los Angeles, CA](#)

Constellation Research Group  
Ray Wang's Software Insider Point of View

Update, 5:00 p.m.: Andrew Nelson, CEO of SAP's TomorrowNow, is quoted in the [Wall Street Journal](#). "We believe we've done absolutely nothing wrong, and we're going to defend our position vigorously," Mr. Nelson said. "We believe our model is an appropriate and legal way to do business."

### Related posts

[SAP subject to criminal charges?](#)  
[Oracle sues SAP and its TomorrowNow unit](#)

by Frank Scavo, 3/27/2007 02:24:00 PM | [permalink](#)  
 | [e-mail this!](#) 



### Reader Comments:

[Post a Comment](#)

### Links to this post:



[Dennis Howlett's AccMan Blog](#)  
[Vinnie Mirchandani: The Deal Architect](#)  
[Oliver Marks' Enterprise 2.0 Blog](#)  
[Si Chen's Open Source Strategies](#)  
[CISO Handbook](#)

---

### Spectator Archives

[May 2002](#)  
[June 2002](#)  
[July 2002](#)  
[August 2002](#)  
[September 2002](#)  
[October 2002](#)  
[November 2002](#)  
[December 2002](#)  
[January 2003](#)  
[February 2003](#)  
[March 2003](#)  
[April 2003](#)  
[May 2003](#)  
[June 2003](#)  
[July 2003](#)  
[August 2003](#)  
[September 2003](#)  
[October 2003](#)  
[November 2003](#)  
[December 2003](#)  
[January 2004](#)  
[February 2004](#)  
[March 2004](#)  
[April 2004](#)  
[May 2004](#)  
[June 2004](#)  
[July 2004](#)  
[August 2004](#)  
[September 2004](#)  
[October 2004](#)  
[November 2004](#)  
[December 2004](#)  
[January 2005](#)  
[February 2005](#)  
[March 2005](#)  
[April 2005](#)  
[May 2005](#)  
[June 2005](#)  
[July 2005](#)  
[August 2005](#)  
[September 2005](#)  
[October 2005](#)  
[November 2005](#)  
[December 2005](#)  
[January 2006](#)  
[February 2006](#)  
[March 2006](#)

April 2006  
May 2006  
June 2006  
July 2006  
August 2006  
September 2006  
October 2006  
November 2006  
December 2006  
January 2007  
February 2007  
March 2007  
April 2007  
May 2007  
June 2007  
July 2007  
August 2007  
September 2007  
October 2007  
November 2007  
December 2007  
January 2008  
February 2008  
March 2008  
April 2008  
May 2008  
June 2008  
July 2008  
August 2008  
September 2008  
October 2008  
November 2008  
December 2008  
January 2009  
February 2009  
March 2009  
April 2009  
May 2009  
June 2009  
July 2009  
August 2009  
September 2009  
October 2009  
November 2009  
December 2009  
January 2010  
February 2010  
March 2010  
April 2010  
June 2010  
July 2010  
August 2010  
September 2010  
October 2010  
November 2010  
December 2010  
January 2011

[February 2011](#)  
[March 2011](#)  
[April 2011](#)  
[May 2011](#)  
[July 2011](#)  
[August 2011](#)  
[September 2011](#)  
[October 2011](#)  
[November 2011](#)  
[December 2011](#)  
[January 2012](#)  
[February 2012](#)  
[March 2012](#)  
[April 2012](#)  
[May 2012](#)  
[June 2012](#)  
[July 2012](#)  
[Latest postings](#)